

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/455,851 | SLUZEWSKI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Craig A. Renner | 2652 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.

(3) _____

 (2) Brendan J. Hanley, Attorney For Applicants.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 July 2005
Time: N/A
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 None

Claims discussed:
 includes 15, 17, 18, 38 and 40

Prior art documents discussed:
 None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Clarifying language was incorporated into the title and claims by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)